✝ LATER

Not The White Report

Scientists May Have Altered US Stillbirth Rates For Biotech To Hide Huge Increase

Issue 6, November 2015, £0.30

There were 4 058 814 births in the US in 2000 (1). According to a 2006 WHO study (2), in 2000 there were approx 16 000 stillbirths in **Northern America** (Table 6.1)**,** 15 000 in the US and 1 000 in Canada. (Annex 1)

According to the National Vital Statistics Report (3), in 2000 there were 13 497 stillbirths in the US (The definition recommended by WHO for international comparison is a baby born with no signs of life at or after 28 weeks' gestation).

Taking the National Vital Statistics Report figure of 13 497, the Canadian stillbirth figure would have been around half the over 2000 minimum requirement to round to North America's 16 000. Note that 'stillbirths' is used loosely in the following first sentence:

*'The number of stillbirths (or fetal deaths) in Canada was 2,209 in 2005, an increase of 143 stillbirths (6.9%) from 2004. Since 1991, stillbirth rates have fluctuated around 6.0 per 1,000 total births. The lowest rate was 5.6 in 1991, and the highest was 6.4 in both 2003 and 2005. **The late stillbirth rate (fetal deaths of 28 or more weeks' gestation**) peaked at 3.8 per 1,000 in 1992, and has since decreased gradually.'* (3)

That appears to be in agreement with WHO that there were around 1000 stillbirths in Canada in 2004.

'The GM diet was associated with gastric and uterine differences in pigs. GM-fed pigs had uteri that were 25% heavier than non-GM fed pigs.' (5)

1. National Vital Statistics Report, Volume 50, Number 5
2. Neonatal and Perinatal Mortality. Country, Regional and Global Estimates, World Health Organisation, 2006
3. National Vital Statistics Report, Volume 64, Number 8, July 23, 2015. Marian F. MacDorman, Ph.D., and Elizabeth C.W. Gregory, M.P.H
4. Statistics Canada
5. A long-term toxicology study on pigs fed a combined genetically modified (GM) soy and GM maize diet. Judy A. Carman, Howard R. Vlieger, Larry J. Ver Steeg, Verlyn E. Sneller, Garth W. Robinson, Catherine A. Clinch-Jones, Julie I. Haynes, John W. Edwards

Dodgy Dossier

The Cabinet Office, in reply to Later's Freedom of Information Request, said they had no record of members of the Communications and Information Center.

Conservative

'We have done more to protect our seas, safeguarded our Green Belt and planted 11 million trees... We will ensure that our public forests and woodland are kept in trust for the nation and plant another 11 million trees.' - Manifesto 2015

Magic Mushrooms

Jesus said in a dream that medicinally 10 UK psilocibyn magic mushrooms once per year - including picking them - was sufficient.

Harry Fletcher

"It was an extraordinarily liberal period," said Harry Fletcher, a criminal justice expert who at the time was the senior social worker for the National Council for One Parent Families. "The abortion laws had come in and capital punishment had been abolished." People were pushing at every boundary - sexual, moral, le-



gal. Fletcher recalled how the groups would spend hours debating whether the NCCL, which became the campaign group Liberty, should defend the right of someone with racist or homophobic views to express themselves. The discussion about defending the National Front's right to march went on for months.

But by far the most divisive topic centred on the lowering of the age of consent. Many on the left thought that criminalising sexual behaviour between consenting teenagers was misguided and wanted it lowered to 14, a proposal endorsed by the NCCL's executive committee. Others, like Fletcher, felt such a move would give a licence to older men to prey on young girls. Into this permissive climate crept the PIE, a group that actively promoted sex between children and adults and that was allowed not only to affiliate to the NCCL (in return for paying a £15 subscription) but enjoyed considerable recognition and support for its right to speak out on such issues.

- How paedophiles infiltrated the left and hijacked the fight for civil rights, The Observer, 2 March 2014.



SCANDAL OF BROTHEL KIDS

A CHILD prostitution ring is operating on a council estate, it was claimed last night.

At least forty youngsters, some as young as seven, are said to be involved.

Scotland Yard ordered an investigation after receiving information from a tenant on the estate in Islington, North London.

The tenant's evidence includes tape recordings of children screaming during sex sessions and identifies three premises as brothels.

He sent his detailed file to Tory MP Geoffrey Dickens.

In his letter, he said: "I think it is dreadful to think child prostitution of this violent kind can occur on this scale."

Mr. Dickens is calling on Home Secretary Douglas Hird to prepare a full report.

A Scotland Yard spokesman said: "We are urgently looking into these very serious allegations."

Baby No 3 dies

A THIRD Underhill sextuplet has lost his fight for life, aged nine months.

Benjamin Underhill died at the Cambridge hospital where he was born. Two of the surviving three babies are still in hospital.

Hansard 17 Feb 1986

Mr. Jeremy Corbyn (Islington, North) On a point of order, Mr. Speaker. I wish to refer to the behaviour of the hon. Member for Littleborough and Saddleworth (Mr. Dickens) last week. Was it in order for him to pass on information which he had received privately about allegations of child sex and pornography on a council estate in my constituency? I raise this point of order because he received a letter from a constituent of mine, as I did, making allegations about a large number of people in my constituency, involving child pornography and abuse.

I wholly deplore child pornography or abuse, and I think that the best way to deal with these matters is through proper and sensitive investigation, which was going on at the time.

Police Protect Corbyn

Requested by *Later* to confirm that Jeremy Corbyn had reported the sex abuse allegation, the Metropolitan Police Service replied:

'In this instance the MPS have decided to exercise our right to "Neither Confirm Nor Deny" (NCND) whether or not any information is held.

'To confirm or deny whether personal information exists in response to your request could publicly reveal in-

he last days o tragic Vivian

By JULIE ISHERWOOD

Gladys Loki, was last seen alive in the early hours of December 22.

During the previous evening she had a drink with friends in the Shaftesbury pub, Hornsey Road, and went on to a party in a squat in Goldie House, Hazellville Road.

Police were originally told she left the party to take a minicab home to her grandmother's.

But her body was found in the locked cupboard in Goldie House.

Police say there were no signs of violence on the body which was badly decomposed. They are now waiting for the results of tests to establish the cause of death.

Detective Superintendent Mansbridge said the cupboard had not been opened since Christmas. It is kept locked and keys are held by the council and the fire brigade.

Police had always feared Vivian would not be found alive because her disappearance was out-of-character

She was close to her grandmother and had bought Christmas presents for friends and relatives.

She enjoyed her work as a painter and decorator with Islington Council and also went to a course at Tottenham Technical College

Detective Superintendent Mansbridge said her family were "very upset and distressed" when he broke the news.

"They did not want to believe it," he said.

Girl's b is foun party f

■ LEFT: Vivian Loki was friends, say police. She part in a baked bean-e Shaftesbury pub, Hornsey fore she disappeared.

■ HAPPY-go-lucky Viv

The Fire Brigade and The Council had the key.

formation about an individual or individuals, thereby breaching the right to privacy afforded to persons under the Data Protection Act.'

The White Report

An extract from The White Report:

'In relation to child C, it is alleged that key materials went missing. We know that the Social Worker concerned went missing, and we can find no evidence that the disappearance of this worker and his file were in any sense part of a wider conspiracy.'

Department of Health

27/08/2015

DE00000952533

Dear Mr Cameron,

Thank you for your request of 1st August 2015 under the Freedom of Information Act (2000). Your exact request was:

> *"Can you confirm that a meeting took place, or there was correspondence between, Jeremy Corbyn and Virginia Bottomley (Health Secretary) in 1992 with regard to alleged child abuse in Islington? Please provide any related documentation or correspondence."*

The Department does not hold the information you have requested.

We have undertaken a search of the inventory of paper files, and have not found any which contain the word 'Islington' for the time period you have requested. The majority of files created in 1992 have been destroyed in accordance with our retention policies, but we are holding 3699 files which cover 1992 in whole or part.

The Department of Health has not retained ministerial diaries from 1992, and does not hold the information you have requested relating to any meeting that may have taken place.

If you have any queries about this email, please contact me. Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

If you are dissatisfied with the handling of your request, you have the right to ask for an internal review. Internal review requests should be submitted within two months of the date of receipt of the response to your original letter and should be addressed to:

Head of the Freedom of Information Team
Department of Health
Room 520
Richmond House
79 Whitehall
London
SW1A 2NS

Email: freedomofinformation@dh.gsi.gov.uk

Department of Health has no 1992 Islington files.

ISLINGTON

Cathy Blair
Interim Corporate Director
Children's Services
Municipal Offices
222 Upper Street
London N1 1XR

T 020 7527 8912
F 020 7527 7045
E cathy.blair@islington.gov.uk
www.islington.gov.uk

19th October 2015

James Cameron
request-283086-62a5a2e2@whatdotheyknow.com

Dear Mr Cameron,

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST REF No: 489558

Thank you for your information request, received on 29th July 2015.

You requested the following information:

Did you at any point request the police to investigate the disappearance of Child C's Social Worker?

I quote from The White Report:

"The Social Worker failed to return after Christmas (1990) and was believed to be off sick. Informal inquiries were followed by formal measures and the matter was passed to Industrial Relations. It took time for it to become apparent to the Department that the Social Worker had left without notice. Some files were missing from the Neighbourhood Office and it was assumed the Social Worker had failed to return them. Steps were taken to retrieve the files without success."

Also, is Child C alive?

Our response is:

We do not hold this information. The only information held by the council relating to this investigation is contained in the White Report.

Sir Peter Hayman

HC Deb 19 March 1981 vol 1 cc139-40W 139W

Mr. Dickens asked the Attorney-General if he will prosecute Sir Peter Hayman under the Post Office Acts for sending and receiving pornographic material through the Royal Mail. §

The Attorney-General In 1978 a packet containing obscene literature and written material was found in a London bus. The subsequent police investigation revealed a correspondence of an obscene nature between Sir Peter Hayman and a number of other persons. Altogether a total of seven men and two women were named as possible defendants in the report submitted by the Metropolitan Police to the Director of Public Prosecutions. §

The Director advised against prosecuting any of the nine persons either under section 11 of the Post Office Act 1953 or for any other offence. Among the considerations he took into account were the factors that the correspondence had been contained in sealed envelopes passing between adult individuals in a non-commercial context and that none of the material was unsolicited.

Subsequently the Metropolitan Police submitted a further report which revealed that one of the nine, not Sir Peter Hayman, was also carrying on a correspondence with a tenth person. The police 140W

investigation showed that the two shared an obsession about the systematic killing by sexual torture of young people and children. In view of the extreme nature of the material they had sent each other, the Director of Public Prosecutions decided to prosecute them for conspiring to contravene section 11 of the 1953 Act. There is no evidence that Sir Peter Hayman has ever sent or received material of this kind through the post.

It has been suggested that Sir Peter Hayman was considered as a possible defendant following the police investigation into the conduct of the Paedophile Information Exchange which led to the recent trial at the Central Criminal Court for conspiracy to corrupt public morals. That prosecution was against persons alleged to have been involved in the management or organisation of PIE. Although Sir Peter Hayman had subscribed to PIE, that is not an offence and there is no evidence that he was ever involved in the management. At the recent trial, whilst there were general references to members of PIE, including, though not by name, Sir Peter Hayman, there was no reference to any material produced by him or found in his possession.

I am in agreement with the Director of Public Prosecutions' advice not to prosecute Sir Peter Hayman and the other persons with whom he had carried on an obscene correspondence.

The Director of Public Prosecutions and I remain determined that, where the evidence justifies it, prosecutions will be brought in cases involving sexual acts with children or offences under the Protection of Children Act 1978—indecent photographs of children.

Back to ATTORNEY-GENERAL
Forward to Personal Injury Cases (Damages)

[redacted]

Files "Presumed Destroyed" or "Not Found"

18. Files allocated these classifications in RMSys fall into distinct categories. Where we have reason to believe that action was taken to destroy a file but have no record on RMSys to demonstrate this, we mark and date the file as "Presumed destroyed"; it effectively means that IMS has a reasonable degree of confidence that the file has been destroyed without an update to the system being made. Examples of these would be:

- Files that have been examined as part of the historic review process and agreed with The National Archives (TNA) as unsuitable for permanent preservation; the file still shows on RMSys but cannot be located in either on or off-site storage.

- Parliamentary Question files – these have a retention period of 2 years, after which they are destroyed, the rationale being that both question and answer are recorded in Hansard and any significant input from policy units should be held on policy files. Long serving IMS staff remember large numbers of these files being destroyed to provide a "quick win" as part of a destruction exercise to reduce holdings. Substantial volumes are booked out to two individuals – the first set in 1992-3 to a member of the Juvenile Victims Unit, the second in 2002 to a member of Record Management Service (RMS – the predecessor unit to IMS), this latter was almost certainly part of the file reduction work.

- During the Home Office relocation from Queen Anne's Gate (QAG) to 2 Marsham Street in 2005, it appears that large volumes of files were destroyed by business units as part of their preparations for the move. No reference to RMS was made, and many files remain booked out to staff working in QAG. RMS staff conducted a search of the building, once vacated, to ensure no files had been abandoned – no files were found. Efforts are made to track down the staff members or business units to whom the files are logged out to but it is rare that this actually turns up the material. Many files in this category will be amended to the 'Presumed destroyed' status following completion of the file audit of all HO HQ units currently being undertaken by IMS.

- Where a generic retention period has passed and it is therefore likely that a file has been destroyed locally without updating RMSys (ministers' cases, transactional finance files, correspondence files)

- Where a significant proportion of files under a single file theme have been destroyed and the remaining files cannot be located, it is assumed that these have also been destroyed.

19. Files that are assumed to be transferred are those that do not fall into the above category and are filed under a prefix that has been transferred to an OGD during a machinery of government change e.g. the CHN (Children's' Department) file series. If, when requested, no trace of the file can be found at the OGD, the file can be recorded either as presumed destroyed or not found, depending on what is suggested by the file movement history on RMSys.

Cabinet Office

1 Horse Guards Road
London
SW1A 2HQ

T +44 (0)20 7276 2294
foi.team@cabinet-office.gsi.gov.uk
www.cabinet office.gov.uk

James Cameron
request-284843-3a853144@whatdotheyknow.com

FOI Reference: FOI321568
21/09/2015

Dear James Cameron

I refer to your request where you asked:

> "Please provide a list of members of the Communications and Information Centre in January 2003."

You then provided the following clarification:

> "In January 2003, new intelligence was received that the Iraqi regime was obstructing the work of the UNMOVIC inspectors. The Secret Intelligence Service gave permission for that information to be used publicly, and the Iraq Communications Group tasked the Communications and Information Centre (which later became the Coalition Information Centre, CIC) with producing a background briefing paper for the press, which was eventually to become the 'dossier'.'
> http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm200203/cmselect/cmfaff/813/81308.htm
>
> According to Alastair Campbell:
>
> "The Coalition Information Centre started as an entity during the Kosovo conflict where it was made up of people from different government departments and also from people from other overseas governments, the United States, Spain, France I think at some point, Germany, a number of governments. In terms of how they are appointed, once we were setting up this cross-departmental team, which continues in a smaller form now, essentially what happens is we trawl departments to try to find people who can be seconded … for three months, six months, what have you."
>
> I believe you would have a list of at minimum the British members."

I am writing to advise you that following a search of our paper and electronic records, I have established that the information you requested is not held by the Cabinet Office.

If you have any queries about this letter, please contact the FOI team. Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

If you are unhappy with the service you have received in relation to your request or wish to request an internal review, you should write to:

Roger Smethurst
Head of Knowledge and Information Management
Cabinet Office
1 Horse Guards Road
London
SW1A 2HQ

email: foi-team@cabinetoffice.gov.uk